



NEW TOPICS OF EPSILON-DELTA PROOF

*Riad Zaidan

Department of mathematics, College of Science and Information Technology, Al-Quds Open University, Jenin, Palestine

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 18th June, 2017
Received in revised form
10th July, 2017
Accepted 17th August, 2017
Published online 30th September, 2017

ABSTRACT

In this paper, I will investigate how to use the formal definition in finding the limit of some specific patterns of functions using epsilon-delta approach.

Keywords:

Limit, Neighbourhood,
Bounded,
Calculus, Epsilon-Delta.

*Corresponding author

Copyright ©2017, Riad Zaidan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Riad Zaidan. 2017. "New topics of epsilon-delta proof", *International Journal of Development Research*, 7, (09), 15496-15500.

INTRODUCTION

Limits are one of the most fundamental concepts of calculus. The concept of calculus was not that clear during the time of Leibniz and Newton, but later developments on the concept, particularly the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition by Cauchy, Weierstrass and other mathematicians established the firm foundation of calculus (3)

In the discussion below, I will try to introduce an approach that uses the concept of limits to find the limit of a function.

Definition 1: Let $f(x)$ be defined on an open interval about x_0 , except possibly at x_0 itself. We say that $f(x)$ approaches the limit L as x approaches x_0 , and write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$$

if, for every number $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a corresponding number $\delta > 0$ such that for all x

$$0 < |x - a| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - L| < \epsilon \quad (2)$$

In other words, this means that for every \mathcal{E} , one can find

a \mathcal{D} (depends on \mathcal{E} only) such that $|f(x) - L| < \mathcal{E}$ whenever $0 < |x - a| < \mathcal{D}$.

In order to do this, we first assume an \mathcal{E} is given, substitute into the inequality $|f(x) - L| < \mathcal{E}$, then we try to manipulate this expression into a new form as $|x - a| < (\text{something includes } \mathcal{E} \text{ only})$.

Note:

To do this, I first give an example which proves that a specific number L is the limit of a given function.

Example 1: Let $f(x) = e^x$, prove; using $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{D}$ method; that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = e.$$

Proof: In our example, $a=1$ (the value that x is approaching), and

$L = e$ (the value that the function is ended to).

Therefore, assume that $\mathcal{E} > 0$ is a given real number, we try to find a real number $\mathcal{D} > 0$ (depends on \mathcal{E} only) such that

$$0 < |x - 1| < \mathcal{D} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - e| < \mathcal{E}.$$

To do this, we begin with the last inequality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - e| < \mathcal{E} &\Rightarrow |e^x - e| < \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow -\mathcal{E} < e^x - e < \mathcal{E} \\ &\Rightarrow e - \mathcal{E} < e^x < e + \mathcal{E} \\ &\Rightarrow \ln(e - \mathcal{E}) < \ln(e^x) < \ln(e + \mathcal{E}) \end{aligned}$$

(since the natural logarithmic function is increasing). Simplifying the last inequality we get

$$\Rightarrow \ln\left(e\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right)\right) < x < \ln\left(e\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right)\right)$$

so we have

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \ln\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right) < x < 1 + \ln\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right)$$

$$1 + \ln\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right) < x < 1 + \ln\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right) \Rightarrow$$

therefore we take

$$\ln\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right) < x - 1 < \ln\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \min\left\{\left|\ln\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right)\right|, \ln\left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{e}\right)\right\} \text{ and get}$$

$$0 < |x - 1| < \mathcal{D} \quad \text{which completes the proof.}$$

Definition 2: a function $y = f(x)$ is called *bounded* in a given range of the argument \mathcal{X} if there exists a positive number M such that for all values of \mathcal{X} in the range under consideration the inequality $|f(x)| \leq M$ is fulfilled. If there is no such number M , the function $f(x)$ is called *unbounded* in the given range

(1)

Definition 3: The function $f(x)$ is called bounded as $x \rightarrow a$ if there exists a neighbourhood, with center at the point a , in which the given function is bounded. (1)

Theorem: If $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$, where b is a finite number, the function $f(x)$ is bounded as $x \rightarrow a$.

Proof: From the equation $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = b$ it follows that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there will be a δ such that in the neighbourhood

$$a - \delta < x < a + \delta \text{ the inequality } |f(x) - b| < \varepsilon$$

but $|f(x)| - |b| \leq |f(x) - b|$ therefore the inequality $|f(x)| < |b| + \varepsilon$ is fulfilled, which means that the function $f(x)$ is bounded as $x \rightarrow a$ (1)

Now, we introduce a new method for finding the limit of a given function using $\varepsilon - \delta$ approach which is given by means of an example.

Example 2: Let $f(x) = 5x - 2$ determine $\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} f(x)$ using $\varepsilon - \delta$ method.

Solution: In this case, the limit is not given, so we assume that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} f(x) = L$ (real number), and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, we

want to find both L and δ (depends on ε only) such that

$$0 < |x - 4| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \text{ so as we did before we begin with the last inequality as}$$

Follows

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon &\Rightarrow |5x - 2 - L| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |5x - 20 + 20 - 2 - L| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

(by adding and subtracting 20 in order to obtain the factor (x-4))

So this yields to the following:

$$\Rightarrow |5(x - 4) - (L - 18)| < \varepsilon$$

but from the properties of the absolute value we know that

$$|a| - |b| \leq |a - b|$$

so we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |5(x - 4)| - |L - 18| &\leq |5(x - 4) - (L - 18)| < \varepsilon \\ \text{so } \Rightarrow |5(x - 4)| - |L - 18| &< \varepsilon \\ \Rightarrow |5(x - 4)| < \varepsilon + |L - 18| &\Rightarrow \\ |x - 4| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} + \frac{|L - 18|}{5} \end{aligned}$$

which is of the form

$$|x - 4| < \delta \quad (\text{in which } \delta \text{ depends on } \varepsilon \text{ only})$$

so we must have $\frac{|L-18|}{5} = 0$ (by the assumption of the existence of limit). Therefore we get

$$|L-18| = 0 \text{ or } L=18 \text{ and } \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \text{ By this we found that } \lim_{x \rightarrow 4} f(x) = 18.$$

Next we check this result:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |x-4| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5} &\Rightarrow 5|x-4| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |5x-20| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |5x-2-18| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |f(x)-18| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

So $\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} f(x) = 18$.

Example 3: Let $f(x) = x^2 + 3x$ find $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} f(x)$ using ε - δ approach.

Let $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} f(x) = L$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given want to find

Solution:

a $\delta > 0$ (depends on ε only) such that:

$$0 < |x-2| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x)-L| < \varepsilon.$$

As we did before

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow |f(x)-L| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |x^2+3x-L| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |x^2+3x-10+10-L| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

(we added and subtracted 10 to have $(x-2)$ as a factor)

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow |(x-2)(x+5) - (L-10)| < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow |(x-2)(x+5)| - |L-10| \leq |(x-2)(x+5) - (L-10)| < \varepsilon \text{ so we get the following} \\ &\text{by absolute value properties.} \end{aligned}$$

$$|(x-2)(x+5)| < \varepsilon + |L-10|$$

$$\text{So } |x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+5|} + \frac{|L-10|}{|x+5|}.$$

By the assumption of the existence of limit, and comparing the last inequality with $|x-2| < \delta$ (which depends on ε only) we must have $\frac{|L-10|}{|x+5|} = 0$ or $L=10$ which is the required limit.

So we get the following inequality

$$|x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+5|} \tag{1}$$

and since $x \rightarrow 2$ we can assume $1 < x < 3$ and therefore

$$6 < x+5 < 8 \text{ so } 6 < |x+5| < 8 \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{1}{6} > \frac{1}{|x+5|} > \frac{1}{8} \Rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{6} > \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+5|} > \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \text{ so from (1) we get}$$

$|x-2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+5|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ so since we restricted x to satisfy the inequality $1 < x < 3$ which is equivalent to $|x-2| < 1$ therefore we choose $\delta = \min\left\{1, \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\right\}$ and this completes our purpose.

REFERENCES

- Piskono, N. 1974. Differential And Integral Calculus, Vol. I _ Second Edition, English translation, Mir Publishers, (translated from the Russian by George Yankovsky).
- Thomas, G. B., and Finney, R. L. 1996. Calculus and Analytic Geometry, _ Ninth edition, Addison-Wesley.
- <http://mathandmultimedia.com/2009/12/22/intro-to-limits/>
