

**REVIEW ARTICLE** 

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 09, Issue, 09, pp. 29868-29873, September, 2019



**OPEN ACCESS** 

## HERBICIDE SELECTIVITY IN THE FORAGE PALM

## <sup>1</sup>José Alex do Nascimento Silva, <sup>1</sup>Jorge Luiz Xavier Lins Cunha, <sup>1</sup>Renan Cantalice de Souza, <sup>1</sup>Gerlan do Nascimento Rodrigues, <sup>1</sup>Philipe Lima de Amorim, <sup>1</sup>Antônio Barbosa da Silva Junior, <sup>2</sup>Ana Maria Oliveira Ferreira, <sup>1</sup>Lucas Alceu Rodrigues de Lima, <sup>1</sup>Nathanyel Ewertthon Alves dos Santos and <sup>1</sup>Marcelo Augusto da Silva Soares

<sup>1</sup>Center for Agricultural Sciences, Federal University of Alagoas, Rio Largo, Alagoas, Brazil <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Physiology, Federal University of Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brazil

ABSTRACT
The objective of the present study was to assess the selectivity of five herbicides and their potential pre-emergent control over weeds in the forage palm crops. A completely randomized design was used to evaluate selectivity in triple factorial $(2 \times 5 \times 7)$ considering varieties, herbicides and seasons assessed, respectively. In turn, the experiment to evaluate weed control was conducted with a completely randomized design with five treatments and one control with eight replications, yielding a total of 48 units. The herbicides tebuthiuron, atrazine and
flumioxazin were selective for the <i>Nopalea cochenillifera</i> and <i>Opuntia cochenillifera</i> varieties at the doses used. On the other hand, the herbicides oxyfluorfen and ametryn caused severe

Chemical control; Weeds; Nopalea cochenillifera; Opuntia cochenillifera.

phytotoxicity in both forage palm varieties. Tebuthiuron, atrazine, flumioxazin, oxyfluorfen and ametryn were considered efficient, controlling over 90% of the species present in the experiment. The efficacy of herbicides varies according with the infesting community, the age of the plant and the dose of the active ingredient applied.

Copyright © 2019, José Alex do Nascimento Silva et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: José Alex do Nascimento Silva, Jorge Luiz Xavier Lins Cunha et al. 2019. "Herbicide selectivity in the forage palm", International Journal of Development Research, 09, (09), 29868-29873.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The forage palm originated from the central region of Mexico and spread across the world with colonization, having an important role as a food source for ruminants especially in semiarid conditions, which are common in the Brazilian Northeast region. This plant provides water, meeting greatly the needs of animals, in addition to representing a green food for animal nutrition (Neto et al., 2016). The high productive potential of this plant in conditions of high temperatures and low humidity can be attributed to its photosynthetic process. As a CAM (crassulacean acid metabolism) plant, the forage palm has the ability to fixate CO<sub>2</sub> during nighttime, avoiding a great loss of water due to transpiration. Thus, this plant is being used as an alternative to the adversities found in semiarid regions. In the Brazilian Northeast, the forage palm covers an area of more than 900 thousand hectares, which is the largest area covered by this cactus in the world (Donato et al., 2014).

Despite its high adaptability in face of environmental conditions, the forage palm is similar to other crops in that its performance declines when in competition with weeds. This worsening in performance occurs especially due to the low cladode area index of some species, allowing weedy plants to surpass this crop regarding factors like water, light, nutrients and space (Kaur, S., Kaur, R., & Chauhan, 2018; De Santiago; Domínguez-Fernández; Cid & De Peña, 2018). Interferences caused by weedy plants originate from direct and indirect factors. Direct factors are represented by competition for natural resources, allelopathy and parasitism, while indirect factors include losses to the harvest, increased costs in treating the crop, and serving as a host for pests and diseases that damage the plants. Thus, knowledge on the weedy community allows the use of control techniques that avoid subsequent losses. However, there are no recommended herbicides in the control of these plants in forage palm crops (Hansen, Chatterjee, Gramig & Prischmann-Voldseth, 2018; Rao, Singh, Mahajan & Wani, 2018). Given the absence of molecules registered for forage palm, the objective of the present study was to assess the selectivity of five herbicides and their potential pre-emergent control over weeds.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: José Alex do Nascimento Silva

<sup>1</sup>Center for Agricultural Sciences, Federal University of Alagoas, Rio Largo, Alagoas, Brazil

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

First, the most used cultivars of forage palm in the Brazilian Northeast were identified, which were the cultivars Opuntia cochenillifera and Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck. After identifying these cultivars, 20 cladodes of the Opuntia cochenillifera cultivar and 20 cladodes of the Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck cultivar were planted. A single cladode was planted per vase with capacity of 5 kg. The substrate used was composed of three parts of soil and one of tanned manure. Both cultivars went through a 90-day period of initial development, during which only manual weeding was carried out weekly. After 90 days, five herbicide molecules were applied, which were: ametryn, atrazine, flumioxazin, oxyfluorfen and tebuthiuron. Two experiments were conducted to assess the selectivity of these molecules: one observing the selectivity of these molecules in the two cultivars used (Opuntia and Nopalea) and another experiment observing their weed control capacity (Table 1). Given the absence of recommended products for the control of weeds in forage palm crops, calculations were based on sugar-cane crops, applying the maximum dose of these molecules.

A backpack sprayer was used to apply the molecules with the aid of a spray hose with two 11002 flat-fan nozzles at a distance of 0.5 m between each other, spraying at a constant pressure (maintained using compressed  $CO_2$ ) of 2.0 kg.f<sup>2</sup>, yielding a carrier volume of 200 L.ha<sup>-1</sup>. During application, experimental units were protected and separated to avoid carrier volume drift reaching other units. In addition to applying molecules to the two forage palm varieties, molecules were also applied to vases containing only the soil from the seed bank of the municipality of Jacaré dos Homens, state of Alagoas. This procedure was conducted using the same dosages in order to verify weed control. After applying the molecules, the plants were transported to a greenhouse where they were kept until the end of the experiment. During the study, the temperature in the greenhouse varied between 41.9 °C and 19 °C, while minimum and maximum humidity were 36.7 and 90%, respectively. Growth analyses were carried out, which included the variables: height, length, width, thickness and number of cladodes. Measurements were taken in millimeters using a ruler and a digital caliper. At the end of the study, the plants were gathered separately, identified and placed in a forced air oven at 65 °C for 72 hours to obtain dry matter. Digital images obtained throughout the experiment evaluations were used to assess phytotoxicity caused by herbicides.

Images were captured at a distance of 15 cm between the camera and the cladode analyzed and then processed using AFSoft software developed by the Embrapa Agricultural Instrumentation center. This software applies artificial intelligence to analyze leaf images based on pre-established color patterns, which allows the identification and quantification of areas affected by pests or damaged by diseases. Moreover, crop evolution can also be assessed by means of different patterns through this analysis. Regarding the experiment on weed control capacity by these molecules applied pre-emergence, the analysis included an evaluation of germination flow, quantification and identification of emerged seedlings, and observations on phytotoxic effects of the molecules on the plants. The experiment to assess the selectivity of herbicides applied to the forage palm was conducted with a completely randomized design with a triple

factorial system (2 x 5 x 7), which regarded varieties, herbicides and seasons evaluated, respectively. In turn, the experiment to assess weed control was carried out with a completely randomized design with five treatments and one control with eight replications, yielding a total of 48 units. After data was collected from both experiments, they were submitted to a variance analysis using Sisvar software. Mean values were compared through Tukey's test at 5% probability.

#### RESULTS

According to the variance analysis, there was no triple interaction among the factors evaluated nor was there a double interaction between herbicides and cultivars and season evaluated and cultivars. However, there was a significant interaction with 1% probability between herbicides and season evaluated, demonstrating that the molecules used can damage the forage palm according to the evolution period assessed (Table 2).

 
 Table 1. List of pre-emergence treatments applied to the forage palm

Treatment	Action mechanism	Dose					
Tebuthiuron	Photosystem II inhib.	2.4 kg.a.i./ha <sup>-1 (1)</sup>					
Atrazine	Photosystem II inhib.	2.5 kg.a.i./ha <sup>-1</sup>					
Ametryn	Photosystem II inhib.	3.0 kg.a.i./ha <sup>-1</sup>					
Oxyfluorfen	Protox inhib.	480 g.a.i./ha <sup>-1</sup>					
Flumioxazin	Protox inhib.	125 g.a.i./ha <sup>-1</sup>					
Note. <sup>1</sup> Active in	Note, <sup>1</sup> Active ingredient per hectare						

Given the interaction between herbicides and the seasons evaluated, each variety was analyzed separately. The damages observed in the Opuntia cochenillifera and Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck varieties began eight days after applying herbicides, with a growing evolution over the period assessed for both varieties studied (Table 3 an 4). The highest levels of damage occurred 28 days after applying herbicides for both varieties studied, with ametryn and oxyfluorfen triggering symptoms of chlorosis and necrosis, respectively. During this same evaluation period, tebuthiuron, atrazine and flumioxazin did not cause damages to the plants analyzed. Oxyfluorfen is a protoporphyrinogen oxidase (protox) inhibitor, which leads to porphyrin deregulation in plants due to abnormal accumulation in cells, causing their death. This molecule presents a high residual effect in the soil and, associated with slow plant metabolism, can present both pre and post-emergence effects (Kim et al., 2014; Mantzos et al., 2014).

The pre-emergence activity of oxyfluorfen is conditioned to soil moisture during application. Permanence within the soil is high and depends on the dose applied. High doses of this molecule can cause the inhibition of electron transport and ATP synthesis (Phung & Jung, 2015; Wu *et al.*, 2019). A dose of 480 g.a.i./ha<sup>-1</sup> of oxyfluorfen in the forage palm caused reddish-brown spots that evolved to necrosis in both varieties analyzed. Flumioxazin can be used either pre or postemergence. This molecule is easily adsorbed in the soil and its main degradation pathway is through microbial activity, presenting low soil persistence and a half-life that can vary between 15 and 19 days. Flumioxazin is considered an important mechanism for the control of weeds because there are no known weedy plants that are resistant to this active ingredient (Bigot, Fontaine, Clément & Vaillant-Gaveau,

#### Table 2. Mean square values and coefficient of variation (CV) obtained in the analysis of variance (ANOVA)

VS <sup>1</sup>	$\mathrm{DF}^2$	Mean square
Herbicides	5	366.307**
Seasons	6	47.822**
Cultivars	1	0.008 <sup>ns</sup>
Herbicides x Seasons	30	26.520**
Herbicides x Cultivars	5	0.524 <sup>ns</sup>
Seasons x Cultivars	6	0.0165 <sup>ns</sup>
Herbicides x Seasons x Cultivars	30	0.071 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	252	
CV(%)	19.05	

Note. <sup>1</sup> Variation Source; <sup>2</sup> Degree of freedom; \*\*Significant at 1% through Tukey's test; <sup>ns</sup> not significant.

 Table 3. Damages to the forage palm variety Opuntia cochenillifera at different evaluation moments after applying treatments containing herbicides. Rio Largo-AL, 2018

Treatment	Damage (days)							
	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	
Tebuthiuron	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
Atrazine	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
Ametryn	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	52.4 b	63.0 c	64.7 b	65.7 b	
Oxyfluorfen	0.00 a	30.1 b	30.6 b	40.5 b	44.4 b	47.7 b	51.0 b	
Flumioxazin	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
Control	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	

Note. In the columns, mean values followed by the same letters did not differ statistically by Tukey's test at 5% probability.

 Table 4. Damages to the forage palm variety Nopalea cochenillifera
 Salm Dyck at different evaluation moments after applying treatments containing herbicides. Rio Largo- AL, 2018

Damage (days)							
4	8	12	16	20	24	28	
0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	42.8 b	54.1 b	55.5 b	58.8 b	
0.00 a	26.6 b	39.5 b	46.7 b	50.1 b	50.7 b	53.1 b	
0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	0.00 a	
	Damage (           4           0.00 a           0.00 a	Damage (days)           4         8           0.00 a         0.00 a           0.00 a         0.00 a	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Damage (days) \\\hline \hline 4 & 8 & 12 \\\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & a \\\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & a & 0.00 & a \\\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & a & 0.00 & a \\\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & a & 0.00 & a \\\hline 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & a & 0.00 & a \\\hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Note. In the columns, mean values followed by the same letters did not differ statistically by Tukey's test at 5% probability.

Table 5. Analysis of variance of the variables assessed in the Opuntia cochenillifera variety of the forage palm, Rio Largo-AL (2018)

Treatment	Height	Length	Thickness	Width	No. of cladodes	Dry matter
Tebuthiuron	59.75 a	26.69 ab	15.52 a	59.49 a	3.25 a	0.42 b
Atrazine	65.25 a	28.21 ab	19.25 a	62.65 a	5.25 a	0.42 b
Ametryn	59.25 a	17.01 b	15.96 a	55.29 a	3.25 a	0.33 b
Oxyfluorfen	48.50 a	24.74 ab	14.52 a	52.09 a	5.75 a	0.32 b
Flumioxazin	51.00 a	29.00 a	14.39 a	52.01 a	6.25 a	0.47 ab
Control	71.50 a	31.89 a	19.84 a	63.94 a	7.25 a	0.73 a
DMS	23.26	11.56	7.57	31.75	4.36	0.30
CV (%)	17.10	19.17	19.88	24.07	36.78	29.53

Note. In the columns, mean values followed by the same letters did not differ statistically by Tukey's test at 5% probability;

DMS = minimal significant difference; CV = coefficient of variation.

2007; Assunção et al., 2017: Arakawa, Otani, Iwashita & Yamazaki, 2017). Although flumioxazin and oxyfluorfen present non-systemic action and the same action mechanism, there was no kind of visual alteration in the cladodes when applying flumioxazin, maintaining the same pattern throughout the whole period evaluated. The difference in dose between these molecules may be one of the factors that led to damages to the plants, since maximum doses were used for both herbicides: 480 and 125 g.a.i./ha<sup>-1</sup> for molecules of oxyfluorfen and flumioxazin, respectively. Moreover, metabolization is one of the main factors that can trigger selectivity and it can act differently in face of each of these molecules, since oxyfluorfen is a diphenyl ether, while flumioxazin is a cyclohexenedicarboximide (Abe et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019). Another factor that could have influenced the selectivity of these molecules is translocation within the plants. Since both herbicides present non-systemic action, the place of action becomes the contact area between the

molecules and the plant and/or nearby areas (Park et al., 2018; Peragón & Amores-Escobar, 2018). Similar to the translocation of these molecules in the plant, the composition of inert ingredients in these herbicides can exercise great influence in selectivity given that they dilute the active ingredient, facilitating dispersion and penetration in the targeted organism (Mesnage, Defarge, Spiroux de Vendômois & Séralini, 2014). Although the forage palm presented high levels of necrosis, predominantly in the apex of the cladodes, which was where there was the most contact with oxyfluorfen molecules (51 and 53.1% for the Opuntia cochenillifera and Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck varieties, respectively), the plant is able to recover from this damage, as observed from the unscathed emergence of new cladodes (table 5 and 6). Considering the herbicides that act as photosystem II inhibitors, a high level of chlorosis was observed when ametryn was applied to both varieties analyzed, with values of 65.7 and 58.8% for Opuntia cochenillifera and Nopalea

# Table 6. Analysis of variance of the variables assessed in the Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck variety of the forage palm, Rio Largo-AL (2018)

Treatment	Height	Length	Thickness	Width	No. of cladodes	Dry matter
Tebuthiuron	64.00 a	26.36 a	16.17 a	75.72 a	3.25 b	0.52 a
Atrazine	61.75 a	27.35 a	18.66 a	79.01 a	3.75 ab	0.43 a
Ametryn	53.75 a	26.04 a	15.80 a	57.74 a	2.75 b	0.39 a
Oxyfluorfen	58.00 a	25.15 a	14.87 a	69.41 a	2.75 b	0.35 a
Flumioxazin	60.25 a	31.81 a	16.37 a	66.94 a	3.50 ab	0.48 a
Control	63.25 a	33.08 a	17.67 a	84.97 a	6.50 a	0.56 a
DMS	32.63	14.43	10.96	34.36	3.35	0.39
CV (%)	23.60	22.19	28.74	20.68	38.85	36.72

Note. In the columns, mean values followed by the same letters did not differ statistically by Tukey's test at 5% probability; DMS = minimal significant difference; CV = coefficient of variation.

Table 7. Weed control	ol percentages ac	cording to the	different treatments	used on the	forage palm. Rid	) Largo - 4	AL, 2018
-----------------------	-------------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------	------------------	-------------	----------

Treatment	Merremia aegyptia (L.) Urb.	<i>E. indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	<i>E. indica</i> (L.) <i>Phyllanthus</i> Gaertn. <i>tenellus</i> Roxb.		Dactyloctenium aegyptium (L.) Willd
			$30 \text{ DAA}^1$		
Tebuthiuron	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
Atrazine	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
Ametryn	100.0 a	100.0 a	94.00 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
Oxyfluorfen	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
Flumioxazin	94.00 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a	100.0 a
Control	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b	0.0 b

Note. <sup>1</sup>Days after application; in the columns, mean values followed by the same letters did not differ statistically by Tukey's test at 5% probability.

cochenillifera Salm Dyck, respectively. In turn, the molecules tebuthiuron and atrazine did not cause any damages, only darkened spots on the cladodes when they were applied. The difference of 0.5 kg.a.i./ha<sup>-1</sup> of ametryn in comparison with the other photosystem-inhibitor herbicides could have had an important role in the selectivity of these molecules in the cactus. This can be explained by the ability of the plant's cells to withstand certain amounts of compounds, eventually leading to an overflow when the amount surpasses this limit. Moreover, the high temperature in the greenhouse where the experiment was conducted may have contributed to the disruption of plasma membranes, increasing internal flow and allowing a greater number of molecules to enter the cell (Li et al., 2019; Mutlu et al., 2019). Following the same pattern as molecules that inhibit photosystem II, the composition of inert materials in the chemicals that inhibit protox also influences the selectivity of these molecules due to the dilution of the active ingredient, which facilitates penetration in the targeted organism (Guelfi et al., 2019). High levels of toxicity in these crops can lead to serious damages to development and biomass accumulation, thus triggering low yields and productivity. The low influence of the herbicides analyzed on the growth characteristics of the forage palm can be attributed to the plant's development stage. Despite its good adaptation to arid and semiarid regions, the cactus presents slow development. Thus, the influence of these molecules can gradually appear with the development of this crop (Pinheiro et al., 2014).

The main species of weeds that occurred in the experimental areas were *Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn., *Merremia aegyptia* (L.) Urb., *Phyllanthus tenellus* Roxb., *Bidens* sp. and *Dactyloctenium aegyptium* (L.) Willd. The most commonly occurring species in this study was *E. indica*. This highly competitive weed is a C<sub>4</sub> plant that presents quick development and is able to produce up to 40 thousand seeds per plant, which germinate with ease at temperatures alternating between 35 and 20 °C, common conditions in the Brazilian Northeast region (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Zhang; Hall; McElroy; Lowe, & Goertzen, 2017). This species is more easily controlled when specimens are young, due to a thinner waxy cuticle and

undifferentiated transport tissues. The protective layer of this plant becomes thicker and the transport tissues are differentiated as development progresses, which decreases the absorption of herbicide molecules. Another factor that makes this plant harder to control is that it is resistant to glyphosate (Yu et al., 2015; Han et al., 2017), which is why it is the most common weed in glyphosate-resistant soybean crops (Cavalcante et al., 2018; Takano, Oliveira Jr, Constantin, Silva & Mendes, 2018). In turn, Bidens sp. is one of the most serious weedy plants in annual crops. It presents high capacity for seed production (3,000 to 6,000) and is able to produce 3 to 4 annual generations, which allows a high rate of infestation; moreover, its seeds are able to survive 3 to 5 years when buried in soil and this species is a host for Meloidogyne and Pratylenchus nematodes (Brandel, 2004; Tsai et al., 2008). Bidens pilosa is able to excrete allelochemicals that hinder the germination and growth of potentially competing plants and release these compounds in the atmosphere through the lixiviation of its leaves and other aerial parts and through other pathways that include volatile emissions, root exudate and litter (Deba, Xuan, Yasuda & Tawata, 2008; Budumajji, Raju, & Jacob, 2018).

Merremia aegyptia (L.) Urb. is a plant species of the Convolvulaceae family and can develop in various crop systems (Lakshminarayana & Raju, 2018). This weed presents aggressive branching behavior, with a single plant occupying an area of up to 4 to 5  $m^2$ , becoming the dominating species in that area by either suppressing or killing smaller plants (De Lima, Linhares, Liberalino Filho & Neto, 2007; Azania, A., Azania, C., Pavani & Cunha, 2003). Freitas et al. (2009) reported a decrease in the number of weedy plants related to competition with dominant species that occupy a larger physical space, surpassing the others. The use of herbicides is one of the most common methods for weed control. In the present study, the use of these molecules was efficient, with control values above 90% for all compounds applied. In the Opuntia variety, only a single Phyllanthus tenellus Roxb. occurred but the plant showed symptoms of intoxication and was unable to conclude its physiological cycle. Similar behavior was observed for *Merremia aegyptia* (L.) Urb., which was unable to conclude its physiological cycle and, 30 days after the molecules were applied, there were no new seedlings over the follow-up period of up to 90 days after treatment. (Table 7). However, although all herbicides presented effective control over weedy plants, the herbicides oxyfluorfen and ametryn caused damages to the forage palm.

#### Conclusion

No difference was observed in the selectivity of herbicides for the *Opuntia* and *Nopalea* varieties of the forage palm of the state of Alagoas. Herbicides tebuthiuron, atrazine and flumioxazin were selective for these varieties at the recommended doses. Oxyfluorfen and ametryn caused severe phytotoxicity in both varieties of the plant studied. Tebuthiuron, atrazine, flumioxazin, oxyfluorfen and ametryn were efficient and controlled over 90% of the species present in this experiment. The efficacy of herbicides varies according to the weedy community and the dose of a.i. applied.

#### REFERENCES

- Abe, J., Isobe, N., Mikata, K., Nagahori, H., Naito, Y., Saji, H., ... & Kawamura, S. 2018. Flumioxazin metabolism in pregnant animals and cell-based protoporphyrinogen IX oxidase (PPO) inhibition assay of fetal metabolites in various animal species to elucidate the mechanism of the rat-specific developmental toxicity. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology*, 339, 34-41. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.taap.2017.11.028
- Arakawa, A., Otani, M., Iwashita, K., & Yamazaki, K. 2017. Molecular dynamics mechanism to generate species differences in inhibition of protoporphyrinogen oxidase by flumioxazin. *Computational Toxicology*, 1, 12-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2016.10.001
- Assunção, N. S., Garcia, H. A., Santos, L. P. D., de Carvalho Dias, R., Melo, C. A. D., Fernandes, F. L., & Reis, M. R. 2017. Seletividade do Flumioxazin ao trigo. *Revista Brasileira de Herbicidas*, 16(2), 122-129. https://doi.org/ 10.7824/rbh.v16i2.514
- Azania, A. A. P. M., Azania, C. A. M., Pavani, M. C. M. D., & Cunha, M. C. S. 2003. Métodos de superação de dormência em sementes de Ipomoea e Merremia. *Planta daninha*, 203-209. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582003000200005
- Bigot, A., Fontaine, F., Clément, C., & Vaillant-Gaveau, N. 2007. Effect of the herbicide flumioxazin on photosynthetic performance of grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.). *Chemosphere*, 67(6), 1243-1251. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.10.079
- Brändel, M. 2004. Dormancy and germination of heteromorphic achenes of Bidens frondosa. Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants, 199(3), 228-233. https://doi.org/10.1078/0367-2530-00150
- Budumajji, U., RAJU, S., & Jacob, A. 2018. Pollination ecology of Bidens pilosa L. (Asteraceae). Taiwania, 63(2). https://doi.org/ 10.6165/tai.2018.63.89
- Cavalcante, J. T., Ferreira, P. V., Cunha, J. L. X. L., da Silva, M. T., de Carvalho, I. D. E., & Paes, R. A. 2018. Levantamento fitossociológico de plantas daninhas em cultivo de genótipos de batata-doce. *Revista Ciência Agrícola*, 16(2), 46-59. http://dx.doi.org/10.28998/ rca.v16i2.3396

- Chen, J., Jiang, C., Huang, H., Wei, S., Huang, Z., Wang, H., ... & Zhang, C. 2017. Characterization of Eleusine indica with gene mutation or amplification in EPSPS to glyphosate. *Pesticide biochemistry and physiology*, 143, 201-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2017.09.012
- De Lima, G. K. L., Linhares, P. C. F., Liberalino Filho, J., & Neto, F. B. 2007. Utilização da jitirana em cobertura como adubo verde no desenvolvimento do feijão mungo. *Revista Brasileira de Agroecologia*, 2(2). http://revistas.abaagroecologia.org.br/index.php/rbagroecologia/article/view /7065
- De Santiago, E., Domínguez-Fernández, M., Cid, C., & De Peña, M. P. 2018. Impact of cooking process on nutritional composition and antioxidants of cactus cladodes (Opuntia ficus-indica). *Food chemistry*, 240, 1055-1062. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.foodchem.2017.08.039
- Deba, F., Xuan, T. D., Yasuda, M., & Tawata, S. 2008. Chemical composition and antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal activities of the essential oils from Bidens pilosa Linn. var. Radiata. Food control, 19(4), 346-352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2007.04.011
- Donato, P. E., Pires, A. J., Donato, S. L., Bonomo, P., Silva, J. A., & Aquino, A. A. 2014. Morfometria e rendimento da palma forrageira 'Gigante'sob diferentes espaçamentos e doses de adubação orgânica. *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.5039/ agraria.v9i1a3252
- Freitas, F. C. L., Almeida, M. E. L., Negreiros, M. Z., Honorato, A. R. F., Mesquita, H. C., & Silva, S. V. O. F. 2009. Períodos de interferência de plantas daninhas na cultura da cenoura em função do espaçamento entre fileiras. *Planta daninha*, 27(3), 473-480. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1590/S0100-83582009000300007
- Guelfi, D. R., Brillas, E., Gozzi, F., Machulek Jr, A., de Oliveira, S. C., & Sirés, I. 2019. Influence of electrolysis conditions on the treatment of herbicide bentazon using artificial UVA radiation and sunlight. Identification of oxidation products. *Journal of environmental management*, 231, 213-221. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.029
- Han, H., Vila Aiub, M. M., Jalaludin, A., Yu, Q., & Powles, S. B. 2017. A double EPSPS gene mutation endowing glyphosate resistance shows a remarkably high resistance cost. *Plant, cell & environment, 40*(12), 3031-3042. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13067
- Hansen, A. A., Chatterjee, A., Gramig, G., & Prischmann-Voldseth, D. A. 2018. Weed and insect management alter soil arthropod densities, soil nutrient availability, plant productivity, and aphid densities in an annual legume cropping system. *Applied soil ecology*, *130*, 120-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.06.006
- Kaur, S., Kaur, R., & Chauhan, B. S. 2018. Understanding crop-weed-fertilizer-water interactions and their implications for weed management in agricultural systems. *Crop Protection*, 103, 65-72. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cropro.2017.09.011
- Kim, J. G., Back, K., Lee, H. Y., Lee, H. J., Phung, T. H., Grimm, B., & Jung, S. 2014. Increased expression of Fechelatase leads to increased metabolic flux into heme and confers protection against photodynamically induced oxidative stress. *Plant molecular biology*, 86(3), 271-287. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-014-0228-3
- Lakshminarayana, G., & Raju, A. S. 2018. Pollination ecology of Merremia tridentata (L.) Hallier f.

(Convolvulaceae). Journal of Threatened Taxa, 10(2), 11339-11347.

https://doi.org/10.11609/jott.3252.10.2.11339-11347

- Li, P., Wang, J., Zou, Y., Sun, Z., Zhang, M., Geng, Z., ... & Wang, D. 2019. Interaction of Hsp90AA1 with phospholipids stabilizes membranes under stress conditions. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes*, 1861(2), 457-465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2018.11.009
- Mantzos, N., Karakitsou, A., Hela, D., Patakioutas, G., Leneti, E., & Konstantinou, I. 2014. Persistence of oxyfluorfen in soil, runoff water, sediment and plants of a sunflower cultivation. *Science of the Total Environment*, 472, 767-777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.016
- Mesnage, R., Defarge, N., Spiroux de Vendômois, J., & Séralini, G. E. 2014. Major pesticides are more toxic to human cells than their declared active principles. *BioMed research international*, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/ 2014/179691
- Mutlu, B. K., Ozgun, H., Ersahin, M. E., Kaya, R., Eliduzgun, S., Altinbas, M., ... & Koyuncu, I. 2019. Impact of salinity on the population dynamics of microorganisms in a membrane bioreactor treating produced water. *Science of The Total Environment*, 646, 1080-1089. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.386
- Neto, J. P., Soares, P. C., Batista, A. M. V., Andrade, S. F., Andrade, R. P., Lucena, R. B., & Guim, A. 2016. Balanço hídrico e excreção renal de metabólitos em ovinos alimentados com palma forrageira (Nopalea cochenillifera Salm Dyck)'. *Revista Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira*, 36(4), 322-328. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ S0100-736X2016000400012
- Park, J., Ahn, Y. O., Nam, J. W., Hong, M. K., Song, N., Kim, T., ... & Sung, S. K. 2018. Biochemical and physiological mode of action of tiafenacil, a new protoporphyrinogen IX oxidase-inhibiting herbicide. *Pesticide biochemistry and physiology*, 152, 38-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.pestbp.2018.08.010
- Peragón, J., & Amores-Escobar, M. T. 2018. Olive tree glutathione S-transferase and its response against the herbicides oxyfluorfen and glyphosate. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 231, 194-200. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.scienta.2017.12.044

- Phung, T. H., & Jung, S. 2015. Differential antioxidant defense and detoxification mechanisms in photodynamically stressed rice plants treated with the deregulators of porphyrin biosynthesis, 5-aminolevulinic acid and oxyfluorfen. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 459(2), 346-351. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.02.125
- Pinheiro, K. M., da Silva, T. G. F., de Sousa Carvalho, H. F., Santos, J. E. O., de Morais, J. E. F., Zolnier, S., & dos Santos, D. C. 2014. Correlações do índice de área do cladódio com características morfogênicas e produtivas da palma forrageira. *Pesquisa agropecuária brasileira*, 49(12), 939-947. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0100-204X2014001200004
- Rao, A. N., Singh, R. G., Mahajan, G., & Wani, S. P. 2018. Weed research issues, challenges, and opportunities in India. *Crop Protection*. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cropro.2018.02.003
- Takano, H. K., Oliveira Jr, R. S., Constantin, J., Silva, V. F. V., & Mendes, R. R. 2018. Chemical Control of Glyphosate-Resistant Goosegrass. *Planta Daninha*, 36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0100-83582018360100055.
- Tsai, L. C., Wang, J. C., Hsieh, H. M., Liu, K. L., Linacre, A., & Lee, J. C. I. 2008. Bidens identification using the noncoding regions of chloroplast genome and nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2(1), 35-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.fsigen.2007.07.005
- Wu, C., Liu, X., Wu, X., Dong, F., Xu, J., & Zheng, Y. 2019. Sorption, degradation and bioavailability of oxyfluorfen in biochar-amended soils. *Science of The Total Environment*, 658, 87-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.scitotenv.2018.12.059
- Yu, Q., Jalaludin, A., Han, H., Chen, M., Sammons, R. D., & Powles, S. B. 2015. Evolution of a double amino acid substitution in the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase in Eleusine indica conferring high-level glyphosate resistance. *Plant physiology*, *167*(4), 1440-1447. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00146
- Zhang, H., Hall, N., McElroy, J. S., Lowe, E. K., & Goertzen, L. R. 2017. Complete plastid genome sequence of goosegrass (Eleusine indica) and comparison with other Poaceae. *Gene*, 600, 36-43.https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.gene. 2016.11.038

\*\*\*\*\*\*